IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CA NO. 7219 OF 2007 IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 9487 OF 2007
(Branch Manager .v. Ratna Manikrao Suryawanshi and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri J.A. Anothony, Advocate for the appellant.

CORAM : C.L. PANGARKAR, J.
19TH DECEMBER, 2007

Heard learned Counsel for the appellant.

The respondents have not filed their reply to the application for condonation of delay even though notices were served on them.

Apparently, they don't have to say anything on this application. It is stated by the learned Counsel for the appellant that the appellant has a good case inasmuch as compensation has been awarded to the pillion rider and the driver of the motor cycle did not have a valid driving license, so also more than two persons were travelling on the said motor cycle.

In view of this, I find the appellant has a very good case on merit. Under such circumstances, it would be desirable to condone the delay in filing the appeal.

The delay of 161 days stands condoned on appellant depositing Rs.1,000/- (rupees one thousand

only) with the Secretary, Legal Services Sub Committee, High Court, Nagpur within a period of one month from today.

Civil Application stands disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

*rrg.